### ITU - Telecommunication Standardization Sector

**Temporary Document SAxx-E** 

**Original: English** 

STUDY GROUP 10

\_\_\_\_

Sophia Antipolis, 98.10.20 – 98.10.23

Question: 9 (MSC)

SOURCE: RAPPORTEUR

TITLE: MSC'92 BACKWARD COMPATIBILITY

Contact: Jan Docekal, Telelogic AB, jan.docekal@telelogic.com

# **Summary**

This proposal has two purposes, to disallow the mixture of "MSC'92" and "MSC'96 instance/event oriented syntax and to make the "MSC'92" part of the language compliant with MSC'92.

#### **Proposal**

At the meeting in Berlin the change 3-GC in B909 (LALR (1) Grammar aligned with Annex B) was unfortunately explained as "Distinguish instance and event oriented grammar". This explanation was unfortunate since the proposal disallows the mixture of "MSC'92" and "MSC'96 instance/event oriented syntax" and not, as the name indicated, prohibited the mixture of instance and event oriented MSC'96". Unfortunately the non-terminals where named in a fashion that added to the confusion.

The first part of this proposal restates the original proposal, but changes the names of the non-terminals.

The second part of this proposal corrects some errors in the "MSC'92" part of the grammar.

## Distinguish "MSC'92" and MSC'96

This is the original proposal except that some non-terminals marked in "green" have change name to better reflect their purpose. The following table shows what have been renamed.

Old name (in B909)	New name
<instance msc="" oriented=""></instance>	<old msc=""></old>
<event msc="" oriented=""></event>	<new msc=""></new>
<instance oriented="" statement=""></instance>	<old statement=""></old>
<event oriented="" statement=""></event>	<new statement=""></new>

```
Change: Distinguish "MSC'92" and MSC'96 grammar, (3-GC):

<msc body> ::=

<msc statement>*

<msc statement> ::=

<text definition> | <event definition> | <old instance head statement> <instance event list>

<msc body> ::=

old msc> | <new msc>
```

## MSC'92 backward compatibility correction

- In MSC'92 msc documents end with the keyword **endmscdocument** (MSC92-1)
- The current grammar requires a **shared** keyword after a condition (MSC92-2)

In MSC'92 the following example is correct:

```
msc m;
   instance i;
   condition c; /* no shared keyword */
   endinstance;
endmsc:
```

To correct this situation the following changes are proposed:

```
Change: (MSC92-1): Support keyword: endmscdocument:

<msc document> ::=

<msc document body>

<msc document> ::=

<msc document body>

i endmscdocument end> ]
```